**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: Control Release Team

**Technical Content**

| | | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Presented market analysis | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 5. Presented target specifications | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 6. Presented mission statement | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Visuals or Slide Design**

| | | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |

**Organization of Presentation**

| | | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Organization of content | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Oral Presentation Quality**

| | | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Presentation length | | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan Karakols | 5 | | | | | |
| 2. Arjun Krishna | 4 | | | | | |
| 3. James Bellah | 4 | | | | | |
| 4. Allan Grizzasi | 4 | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: Good use of talking points, good eye contact, good use of pauses

One thing the group did particularly well: Very elaborate in terms of talking about their product.

One thing that could be improved: Better time management would be good. Inside of talking about everything on the slide, talk main points better

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: Kracken Release keel

| Technical Content | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 5. Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 6. Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

| Visuals or Slide Design | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

| Organization of Presentation | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

| Oral Presentation Quality | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. Presentation length | 1 | 2 | (3) | (4) | 5 |

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Alan | 4 | | | | | |
| 2. James | 4 | | | | X | |
| 3. Evan | 4 | | | | | |
| 4. Arjun | 4 | | | | X | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: No hands in pockets

One thing the group did particularly well: Really understand exciting technology
Nice to see all the other technology, good graphs
Really nice market analysis

One thing that could be improved: Better handoffs, Title slide w/ names
Cheesy Mission Statement graphic

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1: Are you looking at a more mechanical or electro mechanical Approach

Question 2: What kind of Prototype:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: _Release Team_

**Technical Content**

| | | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|

1. Explained motivation for design    1   2   ③④   4   5
2. Summarized scope of design problem    1   2   3   ④   5
3. Presented market analysis    1   2   3   4   ⑤
4. Presented customer needs analysis    1   2   3   ④   5
5. Presented target specifications    1   2   ③   4   5
6. Presented mission statement    1   2   ③   4   5

**Visuals or Slide Design**

| | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |

1. Visual appeal of slides    1   2   3   ④   ⑤
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables    1   2   3   4   ⑤
3. Clear, concise supporting text    1   2   3   ④   5

**Organization of Presentation**

| | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning    1   2   ③   4   5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation    1   2   ③   4   5
3. Appropriate tone for audience    1   2   3   4   ⑤
4. Organization of content    1   2   3   ④   5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion    1   2   3   ④   5

**Oral Presentation Quality**

| | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |

1. Team's confidence and enthusis    1   2   3   ④   5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses    1   2   3   ④   5
3. Presentation length    1   2   3   ④   5

**Individual Assessment**      Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

| Name: | Score | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan Karakdis | 4 | X | X | X | X | |
| 2. Arjun Krishna | 4 | X | X | X | X | |
| 3. | 4 | X | X | X | X | |
| 4. Alan Grizzaffi | 4 | X | X | X | X | |
| 5. James Bellah. | 5 | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: _Good table and graphs._

One thing that could be improved: _The slide for potential revenue is hard to catch. Need to be ~~more words and~~ less more clear._

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _Redeuse Team (Dankoie)_

Question 1: For the sorted several alternatives listed, it seems that there are more Cons than pros. So Does it mean the all of these opens are far from option. Does it mean all of these opens are far from the optimal ones right?

Question 2: Does other competitors Invest similar amount of money? Or do they have a more cost efficient method?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: CRT

**Technical Content**

|   | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 3. Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 6. Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |

**Visuals or Slide Design**

|   | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5✓ |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5✓ |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5✓ |

**Organization of Presentation**

|   | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5✓ |

**Oral Presentation Quality**

|   | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5✓ |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4✓ | 5 |
| 3. Presentation length | 1 | 2✓ | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. EVAN | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2. JAMES | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 3. ALAN | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 4. ARJUN | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: Good Job!

One thing the group did particularly well:_____

One thing that could be improved:_____

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: Control Release Team

### Technical Content

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 6. Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |

### Visuals or Slide Design

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |

### Organization of Presentation

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

### Oral Presentation Quality

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. Presentation length | 1 | 2 | (3) | 4 | 5 |

### Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan Korakolis | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| 2. Alan Grizzafi | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| 3. James Bellah | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| 4. Arjun Krishna | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: _____

_____

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

_____

One thing that could be improved: _____

_____

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

**Technical Content**

| | | Not Acceptable | Average | | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. | Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 3. | Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. | Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 5. | Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 6. | Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |

**Visuals or Slide Design**

| | | Not Acceptable | Average | | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. | Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. | Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Organization of Presentation**

| | | Not Acceptable | Average | | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. | Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. | Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 4. | Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 5. | Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Oral Presentation Quality**

| | | Not Acceptable | Average | | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 2. | Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. | Presentation length | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan | 5 | | | | | |
| 2. James | 5 | | | | | |
| 3. Allen | 4 | | | X | | |
| 4. Arjun | 5 | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: Presentation too long.

One thing the group did particularly well: Great organization, Slides well made, understands the problem at hand

One thing that could be improved: Good presentation but needs to be within time constraint

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____Kraken_____

Question 1: How do they plan to use the designs of previous solutions to improve their own?

Question 2: How do they plan to market their new product?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment** *Control release Team*
Team Name: ~~Control release Team~~

**Technical Content**

|  | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|

1. Explained motivation for design    1   2   3   (4)   5
2. Summarized scope of design problem    1   2   3   (4)   5
3. Presented market analysis    1   2   3   (4)   5
4. Presented customer needs analysis    1   2   3   (4)   5
5. Presented target specifications    1   2   3   4   (5)
6. Presented mission statement    1   2   3   (4)   5

**Visuals or Slide Design**

|  | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|

1. Visual appeal of slides    1   2   (3)   4   5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables    1   2   3   (4)   5
3. Clear, concise supporting text    1   2   (3)   4   5

**Organization of Presentation**

|  | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning    1   2   3   4   (5)
2. Communicated purpose of presentation    1   2   3   4   (5)
3. Appropriate tone for audience    1   2   3   4   (5)
4. Organization of content    1   2   3   (4)   5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion    1   2   3   (4)   5

**Oral Presentation Quality**

|  | Not Acceptable | Average | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|

1. Team's confidence and enthusis    1   2   3   (4)   5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses    1   2   3   4   (5)
3. Presentation length    1   2   (3)   4   (5)

**Individual Assessment**     Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Aran | 4 | | | | | |
| 2. James | 4 | | | | | |
| 3. alan | 3 | | | X | | |
| 4. arjun | 4 | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: _____ long !

One thing the group did particularly well: speaking confidently

One thing that could be improved: text on slide, milestones

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Control release team

Question 1: What companies / ~~compete~~ competitors are also looking at new solutions?

Question 2: ~~scribbled out text~~ how will you keep costs down?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

| **Technical Content** | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 3. Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 5. Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 6. Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |

| **Visuals or Slide Design** | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |

| **Organization of Presentation** | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 4. Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| **Oral Presentation Quality** | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 3. Presentation length | 1 | 2 | ③ | 4 | 5 |

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan | 5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 2. James | 3 | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| 3. Alan | 1 | ✓ | | | ✓ | |
| 4. Arjun | 2 | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: All students were strong presenters, and obviously spent a lot of time with the powerpoint

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _Kraken Release Tool_

Question 1: How will current market conditions affect the ~~success~~ success of this tool?

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**
Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

### Technical Content

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Explained motivation for design | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Summarized scope of design problem | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Presented market analysis | 1 | 2 | (3) | 4 | 5 |
| 4. Presented customer needs analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 5. Presented target specifications | 1 | 2 | (3) | 4 | 5 |
| 6. Presented mission statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

### Visuals or Slide Design

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Visual appeal of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Clear, concise supporting text | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

### Organization of Presentation

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Communicated purpose of presentation | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Appropriate tone for audience | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 4. Organization of content | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | (5) |
| 5. Finished with a convincing conclusion | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

### Oral Presentation Quality

| | Not Acceptable | | Average | | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Team's confidence and enthusis | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |
| 3. Presentation length | 1 | 2 | 3 | (4) | 5 |

### Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

| Name: | Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) | Well Pr | Eye Co | Voice Q | Body L | Questions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Evan Karakolis, | 5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 2. Alan Grizaff, | 3 | ✓ | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| 3. James | 4 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X | ✓ |
| 4. Arjun Krishna | 4 | ✓ | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 5. | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | |

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: The milestones were proactive and helped add validity to the product

One thing that could be improved: The delivery & timing of the mission statement. I feel it would be stronger at the end of the presentation

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

Question 1: Are there any competitors that already sits in your targeted quadrant?

Question 2: Where do these ideal specs sit when compared to the competition?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2: