

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project together

Question 1: What do customers currently say about your product?

Question 2: What is your target market

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What constraints are there in terms of design?

Question 2: What is your immediate next step?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: Are there certain designs that are out of question

Question 2: How will robots reduce the number of pipe failures?  
What are the product competitors?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

**Technical Content**

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

**Visuals or Slide Design**

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

**Organization of Presentation**

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

**Oral Presentation Quality**

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)
Name:	
1. <i>Matt Hernandez</i>	<u>5</u>
2. <i>Jackie Yang</i>	<u>3</u>
3. <i>Jerrri Zhung</i>	<u>4</u>
4. <i>Jorge Richie</i>	<u>4</u>
5.	_____
6.	_____

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
				X				

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

One thing the group did particularly well: *The ppt design was very good. Visually appealing, but not distracting.*

One thing that could be improved: *Jorge & Jerrri, no figleaf. Jackie, you don't need to be a monotone statue. Matt, no hands behind your back.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What do your competitors have? What technology are you competing with?

Question 2:

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What are your milestones and when do you plan to achieve them?

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	(5)
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	(3)	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	(3)	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	(3)	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	(4)	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Organization of content	1	2	(3)	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	(5)

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	(5)

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

- Name:
- MATT HERNANDES
  - JACIE VANG
  - JERRY ZHANG
  - JORGE RICHIE
  - 
  -

4
4
5
4

	Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
	X				
		X			
	X			X	

Comments: Try to format charts better (spacing)

One thing the group did particularly well: PROVIDED GOOD MOTIVATION WITH GP SPILL EXAMPLE.

One thing that could be improved: The team could have had a more specific plan/agenda for the presentation to help the audience follow.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Team Poesiden

Question 1: How will revenue increase by 2022? increased market or more wells

Question 2: How much of the current BOP system will be used in your system

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

- 1. *Matt Hernandez*
- 2. *Jackie Yang*
- 3. *Jerry Zhang*
- 4. *Jorge Riche*
- 5.
- 6.

4  
3  
5  
4  
    
  

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
	X			
		X	X	

Comments: The group knew the topic well & for the most part looked very comfortable.

One thing the group did particularly well: made it clear as to the scope of project & problem & viable solution

One thing that could be improved: The design specifications may need to be conveyed slightly better. Also, the lack of describing how PER's function makes it a little confusing to understand parts of the presentation

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name:     Poseidon    

Question 1: How exactly will your team shoot to increase the overall market share from 25 to 33%?

Question 2: what specific aspects of customer needs & specifications is the group targeting & what are the limiting factors?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: Project Poseidon

**Technical Content**

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

**Visuals or Slide Design**

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

**Organization of Presentation**

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

**Oral Presentation Quality**

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Matt Hernandez
  2. Jackie Yang
  3. Jerry Zhang
  4. Jorge Richie
  - 5.
  - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
4
4
4

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
						X		
				X				
						X		

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

One thing the group did particularly well: Made it very clear that they have a pertinent project as the consequences are catastrophic.

One thing that could be improved: the actual delivery of the presentation could have been improved with more intonation and better posture.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: Can you give more details about the exact specs for your project?

Question 2: How realistic in your opinion are your numbers for the number of subsea rigs?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	④	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	④	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	④	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	③	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	④	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	⑤

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	⑤
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	④	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	④	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	③	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	④	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	⑤
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	⑤
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	④	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	④	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	④	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	④	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)	Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
1. <i>Matt</i>	<u>4</u>		X		X	
2. <i>Jackie</i>	<u>3</u>		X	X	X	
3. <i>Jerry</i>	<u>5</u>		4	5	4	
4. <i>Fergal</i>	<u>4</u>				X	
5.						
6.						

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

One thing the group did particularly well: *V. nice slides, Ambition project got here  
confidence. Good market analysis*

One thing that could be improved: *Don't read off slides so much  
motivation? Computer projects and technology*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Paradise

Question 1: Are you going to be able to keep pace w/ GE's developing tech?

Question 2: What kind of prototype are you looking to produce next when → milestones?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- BILAN
  - JERRY
  - MATT
  - Ferge
  - 
  -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
5
4
5

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
4	2	3	3	3	3			
4	4	4	4	4	5			
4	4	4	4	4	4			
4	5	4	4	4	4			

Comments: Good job

One thing the group did particularly well: \_\_\_\_\_

One thing that could be improved: \_\_\_\_\_

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1: *What's your plan over the year.*

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

**Technical Content**

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

Not  
Acceptable    Average    Excellent

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

**Visuals or Slide Design**

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

Not  
Acceptable    Average    Excellent

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

**Organization of Presentation**

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

Not  
Acceptable    Average    Excellent

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

**Oral Presentation Quality**

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

Not  
Acceptable    Average    Excellent

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

**Individual Assessment**

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Matthew Hernandez*
  2. *Jackie Lang*
  3. *Jorge Richie*
  4. *Terry*
  - 5.
  - 6.

Individual  
Presentation  
Score:  
(1-5 as  
above)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Well Pr Eye Co Voice Q Body L Questions


Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

One thing the group did particularly well: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

One thing that could be improved: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Team Poseidon

Question 1: *How will the current market status affect the economics of this BOP?*

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

**Proposal Presentation -- Assessment**

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- Matthew Hernandez
  - Jackie Long
  - Jerry
  - Jorge Ritchie
  - 
  -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
3
5
4

	Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
1	✓		✓		✓		X		✓
2	✓		X		✓		✓		X
3	✓		X		✓		✓		✓
4	✓		✓		X		✓		✓
5									
6									

Comments:

One thing the group did particularly well: Opening anecdote to presentation was very impactful for the seriousness of the topic

One thing that could be improved: The validity of the specs for the customer needs could be touched on more

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What do mean by Proof of Progeession  
under the Future Plans slide?

Question 2: What factors of safety are taken into  
account when determining customer needs?

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Question 1:

Question 2: