

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Quad Squad

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)
1. <u>Carlos Flores</u>	<u>4</u>
2. <u>Daniel Lund</u>	<u>4</u>
3. <u>Evan Bell</u>	<u>5</u>
4. <u>Christian Villescas</u>	<u>5</u>
5.	
6.	

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
		X						

Comments: Carlos, you look stiff. I don't remember many graphs or tables...

One thing the group did particularly well: Concise.

One thing that could be improved: Emphasize helping people more. I figure it'll make profit, what about the lives it'll save?

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1: *Magnetic concerns? Do you have to be careful around such an everyday technology?*

Question 2:

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1: *Is the project about efficiency of energy transfer or about production?*

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: QUAD SQUAD

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Name:	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)
1. CARLOS FLORES	5
2. Christian Villascas	4
3. Daniel Land	4
4. Evan Bell	4
5.	
6.	

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
				X		X		
		X		X				
X		X						

Comments: SLIDES were too full of words and were difficult to follow

One thing the group did particularly well: THE TEAM HAD GOOD PICTURES THAT HELPED PROVIDE CONTEXT AND ILLUSTRATE POINTS

One thing that could be improved: could have started with catchy story, not "Hello, we are..."
Better transition between group members

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Team

Question 1: What new features will you be adding to the old design and what value will it add

Question 2: Will you need to work with the artificial heart? or what will your involvement with the specifics of it be?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Good Squad

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

		Not				
		Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

		Not				
		Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

		Not				
		Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

		Not				
		Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5		

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Carlos Flores
 2. Christian Villescas
 3. Daniel Land
 4. Even Bell
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

1. 4
2. 4
3. 3.5
4. 4
- 5.
- 6.

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
		X		
		X		

Comments: Just make sure to look professional & watch the length of presentation.
Good info & seems interesting

One thing the group did particularly well: The team has very good visuals that add emphasis in explanation of paper

One thing that could be improved: The team came across a little lax in their presentation. Coordinate professional dress & watch voice tone at times

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1: Any concerns w/ interference in regards to wireless capabilities?

Question 2: Are there any future goals to potentially sync w/ phones/devices to ultimately monitor energy expended & created over the course of a day?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Quad Squad

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Daniel Land
 2. Carlos Flores
 3. Evan Bell
 4. Christian Villescas
 5. _____
 6. _____

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
4
4
4

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
X								
		X						
		X						
			X					

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: They brought good enthusiasm to their planned product and thus gauged great interest from the audience.

One thing that could be improved: The group can go more in depth with their analysis and state explicitly some concerns/constraints moving forward.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1:

Are there any interference concerns you have with your planned wireless artificial heart idea?

Question 2:

If a potential patient is unable to move for whatever reason, will the device have a "manual" charging socket to power the heart?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Quel Sewal

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	④	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	④	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	④	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	④	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	⑤
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	④	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	③	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	③	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	④	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	④	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	⑤
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	④	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	④	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	③	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	④	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	③	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	②	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Carlos
 2. christian
 3. Evan
 4. Chris
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

_____ 4 _____
_____ 4 _____

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: Handle the Q&A period

One thing that could be improved: Explain how they will improve car life
Better transition w/ names

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1:
What battery technology are you looking into?

Question 2:
Are you looking to make any more knee braces or improvements to the design

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: QuadSquad

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Christian
 2. Evan Bell
 3. _____
 4. Daniel
 5. Carlos
 6. _____

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
5
4
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: They maintained eye contact & talked with energy

One thing the group did particularly well: They conveyed their goals really well

One thing that could be improved: They could have done more to the slides to make it more appealing

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project together

Question 1: What do customers currently say about your product?

Question 2: What is your target market

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Quand Squand*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Evan Bell*
 2. *Christian Villascas*
 3. *Carlos Flores*
 4. *Renel Land*
 5. _____
 6. _____

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

	Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
1	X		X		X		X		
2	X						X		
3	X								
4	X	X	X						
5			X	X	X		X		

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *Good flow*

One thing that could be improved: *slides should be more clear currently there are too many words*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quord Squad

Question 1: ~~Is~~ - Is there ~~an~~ other alternative that can actually work very well

Question 2: Could you make an actual summary or future plan since apparently you don't have that on your slides.

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Quad Squad.*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3 ✓	4	5	

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *CHRISTIAN*
 2. *CARLOS*
 3. *EVAN*
 4. *CHRAZ*
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

<i>CHRISTIAN</i>	
<i>4</i>	
<i>5</i>	
<i>4</i>	

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
<i>3</i>		<i>5</i>		<i>5</i>		<i>5</i>		<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>5</i>		<i>3</i>		<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>		<i>5</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>		<i>4</i>

Comments: *Good Job!*

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down **ATLEAST 2** questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Quad Squad

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	④	5
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	④	5
	1	2	3	④	5
	1	2	3	④	5
	1	2	3	④	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	4	⑤

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	④	5
	1	2	3	4	⑤
	1	2	③	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Carlos Flores
 2. Christian Villascas
 3. Daniel Land
 4. Evan Bell
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

<u>5</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>5</u>

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
5	5	4	5	
5	5	5	4	
5	5	5	5	

Comments: Short

One thing the group did particularly well: Concisely present

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down **ATLEAST 2** questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Quad Squad

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Flores, Carlos
 2. Villegas, Christian
 3. Land, Daniel
 4. Bell, Evan
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

5
4
3
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
		X		
		X		X
			X	

Comments: Some members under-dressed

One thing the group did particularly well: They have plenty of data to support their plans and utilized past concepts to improve their current figure.

One thing that could be improved: Organization of presentation, need to be better prepared

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Quad Squad

Question 1: How do they plan to use past concepts for the improvement of their own design?

Question 2: How many significant competitors that are influencing the project attacking this problem?

Team Name:

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: ~~Star~~ **Quad Squad**

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5
1	2	3	4 5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

- Name:
1. Daniel
 2. Carlos
 3. Christian
 4. Evan
 - 5.
 - 6.

4
5
4
2

Well	Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
X					
			X		
X	X				X

Comments: could have included more details and potential challenges

One thing the group did particularly well: body posture, very readable slides

One thing that could be improved: Eye contact, lack

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: quad squad

Question 1: what would this "transient heating" prototype look like physically?

Question 2: why are we giving up on wearable chargers?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Control Release Team

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	2	3	4	5
3	1	2	3	4	5
4	1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4	5
6	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	2	3	4	5
3	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	2	3	4	5
3	1	2	3	4	5
4	1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusis
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	2	3	4	5
3	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Evan Karakols
 2. Arjun Krishna
 3. James Bellak
 4. Allan Gizzafi
 5. _____
 6. _____

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

5
4
4
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: Good use of talking points, good eyercontact, good use of pauses

One thing the group did particularly well: very elaborate in terms of talking about their product

One thing that could be improved: Better time management would be good. Instead of talking about everything on the slide, talk main points better

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What constraints are there in terms of design?

Question 2: What is your immediate next step?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Kracken Rebecca Lee*

Technical Content

	Not Acceptable	Average		Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4 <i>5</i>

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5

Organization of Presentation

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3 <i>4</i> <i>5</i>
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3 <i>4</i> <i>5</i>
4. Organization of content	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3 <i>4</i> 5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- Alan
 - Janner
 - Evan
 - Arjun
 -
 -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>
<i>4</i>

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
			X	
			X	

Comments: *No hands in pockets*

One thing the group did particularly well: *Really understand existing technology*

Nice to see all the other technology, good graphs

Really nice market analysis

One thing that could be improved: *Better handoffs, Title slide w/ names*

Cheesy Mission Statement graphic

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1: *Are you looking at a more mechanical or electro mechanical approach*

Question 2: *what kind of Prototype?*

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Release Team*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

		Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

		Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

		Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

		Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Evan Karakdis*
 2. *Arjun Krishna*
 3. *Alan Grizzaffi*
 4. *James Bellah*
 5. *James Bellah*
 6. *James Bellah*

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
4
4
4
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
X	X	X	X	
X	X	X	X	
X	X	X	X	
X	X	X	X	

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *Good table and graphs.*

One thing that could be improved: *The slide for potential revenue is hard to catch. Need to be more words and less more clear.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Release Team (Dantole)

Question 1: For the ~~several~~ several alternatives listed, it seems that there are more cons than pros.

Question 2: ~~Does it mean that all of these options are far from optimal ones?~~ ~~Do other competitors invest similar~~ ~~amount of money?~~ Or do they have a more cost efficient method?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: CRT

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5 ✓	
1	2	3	4 ✓	5	
1	2 ✓	3	4	5	

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. EVAN
 2. JAMES
 3. ALAN
 4. ARJUN
 5. _____
 6. _____

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

4
4
4
4

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
4		5		4		4		4
5		4		4		4		4
4		4		5		4		4
4		4		4		4		5

Comments: Good Job!

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down **ATLEAST 2** questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Control Release Team*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Name:	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)
1. <i>Evan Korakolis</i>	<u>5</u>
2. <i>Alan Grizzati</i>	<u>5</u>
3. <i>James Bellah</i>	<u>5</u>
4. <i>Arjun Krishna</i>	<u>5</u>
5.	_____
6.	_____

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down **ATLEAST 2** questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not			
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent
	1	2	3	4
1.				④
2.				⑤
3.				⑤
4.				⑤
5.				④
6.				⑤

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not			
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent
	1	2	3	4
1.				④
2.				④
3.				④

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not			
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent
	1	2	3	4
1.				⑤
2.				④
3.				④
4.				⑤
5.				④

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not			
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent
	1	2	3	4
1.				⑤
2.				④
3.				④

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Name:

1. Evon
2. Jones
3. Allen
4. Arjun
- 5.
- 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

<u>5</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>4</u>
<u>5</u>

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
		X		

Comments: Presentation too long,

One thing the group did particularly well: Great organization, slides well made, understands the problem at hand

One thing that could be improved: Good presentation but needs to be within time constraint

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Kraken

Question 1: How do they plan to use the designs of previous solutions to improve their own?

Question 2: How do they plan to market their new product?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Control release Team

Team Name: ~~Team~~ ~~Control release team~~

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
2	2	3	4
3	2	3	4
4	2	3	4
5	2	3	4
6	2	3	4

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
2	2	3	4
3	2	3	4

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
2	2	3	4
3	2	3	4
4	2	3	4
5	2	3	4

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
2	2	3	4
3	2	3	4

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Avan*
 2. *James*
 3. *alan*
 4. *arjun*
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
4
3
4

Well	Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
			X		

Comments: long!

One thing the group did particularly well: speaking confidently

One thing that could be improved: text on slide, milestones

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: control release team

Question 1: what companies / ~~competitors~~ competitors are also looking at new solutions?

Question 2: ~~could you see a solution to be marketed~~
how will you keep costs down?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Kraken Release Tool*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Emm*
 2. *James*
 3. *Alan*
 4. *Arjun*
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

_____	<i>5</i>
_____	<i>3</i>
_____	<i>2</i>
_____	<i>2</i>

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *All students were strong presenters, and obviously spent a lot of time with the powerpoint*

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Krakon Release Tool

Question 1: How will current market conditions affect the ~~market~~ success of this tool?

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
1.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Evan Karakolis
 2. Alan Grizaffi
 3. James
 4. Arjun Krishna
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

5
3
4
4

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓
✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: The milestones were proactive and helped add validity to the product

One thing that could be improved: The delivery is timing of the mission statement. I feel it would be stronger at the end of the presentation

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Kraken Release Tool

Question 1: Are there any competitors that already sits in your targeted quadrant?

Question 2: Where do these ideal users sit when compared to the competition?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Joint Effort*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Anevi Sun*
 2. *Alexander Kundrae*
 3. *Alexander Kundrae*
 4. *Muncan Young*
 5. *Sunny Sharma*
 6. *Sunny Sharma*

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
X	X	X	X	
X	X	X	X	
X	X	X	X	
<i>Not attending</i>				

Comments: *The table on the customer needs slide is not very clear. It took a long time to go through that slide so I suggest to split it into 2 slides.*
② The future plan is very specific, which is good.
 One thing the group did particularly well: *The numbers for problem design are very good.*

One thing that could be improved: *Some slides contain too much information.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Joint Effort.

Question 1: Do you have unit cost for the kind of product in the market?

Question 2: Could you describe in detail how the system works? like in the electronic aspect.

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Name:	Individual
	Presentation
	Score:
	(1-5 as
	above)
1. Alex Kundrot	5
2. Duncan Young	5
3. Angel Sun	3
4. (Sunny Sharma -sick)	
5.	
6.	

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
				X				

Comments: *Cool on the sensor question, stretch on the market size.*

One thing the group did particularly well: *Shoutout to Alex for filling in for Sunny.*

One thing that could be improved: *Customer Needs table was difficult to read, and we spent a lot of time on this slide just reading the slide.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: How does the pipebot work? I know what it needs to do, but how does it do that?

Question 2:

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: Where are you in the project right now?

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Team Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	(4)	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	(4)	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	(5)
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	(5)

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	(5)

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	(4)	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	(5)
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	(5)

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	(4)	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- Duncan Young
 - Alex Kundrot
 - Angel Sun
 - Sunny Sharma (sick)
 -
 -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

5
5
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
			X	
		X		

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: Strong Intro. illustrated well the impact of problem on human lives which emphasized the need for a solution

One thing that could be improved: Could have been more clear about which specific market is being targeted for tool.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Team Serpentelligence

Question 1: Which target market will you chose to enter, if alternate markets are entered what changes need to be made?

Question 2: If the fool doesn't classify the correct joint, what will the failure look like, how harmful will it be?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Duncan Young
 2. Angel Sen
 3. Alex Kundrot
 4. Sunny Sharma
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

5
4
5
N/A

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
				X				

Comments: *Very good info & well prepared / confident attitude*

One thing the group did particularly well: *Convey the use of visuals very well*

One thing that could be improved: *Maybe give a figure of how many pipe bots it would take to inspect a certain % of existing pipes. Current competitors should be discussed.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: methods of recording pipe topologies?

Question 3: What material is expected to be utilized in final design?

Question 2: Any competitors currently? What % of market could Pipebot gain in pipe inspection?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Name: 1. <i>Duncan Young</i> 2. <i>Alex Kundrot</i> 3. <i>Angel (Angil) Sun</i> 4. <i>Sunny Sharma</i> 5. _____ 6. _____	Invidual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above) _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ _____	Well Pr Eye Co Voice Q Body L Questions <table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>													X								X				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																
					X																																													
					X																																													
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																										

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *This group had great delivery and a comprehensive analysis. Every person enunciated the speech clearly.*

One thing that could be improved: *Some of the numbers presented seem a little unrealistic in the scope of this project so maybe those facts could be reanalyzed.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: How exactly (in terms of machinery) will you be producing this device?

Question 2: What is a contingency plan you plan to have when the pipe bot unexpectedly become stuck?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpent Intelligence*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Duncan Young*
 2. *Angel Sun*
 3. *Alex*
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

5
4
5

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: *Good presentation skills*

One thing the group did particularly well: *very good at answering questions*

One thing that could be improved: *Good to have more tables & better classification on some points*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: Are there certain designs that are out of question

Question 2: How will robots reduce the number of pipe failures?
What are the product competitors?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Team Serpentscience*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	4	5
3	2	3	4	5
4	2	3	4	5
5	2	3	4	5
6	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	4	5
3	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	4	5
3	2	3	4	5
4	2	3	4	5
5	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	4	5
3	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Duncan
 2. Alex
 3. Angel Sun
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

5
5
5

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: *Not much to criticize; just nitpicking. However, lacked an explicit mission statement slide.*

One thing the group did particularly well: *Spoke very well and clearly, great market analysis*

One thing that could be improved: *Slides are each lacking in aesthetics*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentscience

Question 1: How do you plan to utilize past iterations into new design?

Question 2: How do you account for maintenance costs for the bot?
Will it be easy to maintain?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. Duncan
 2. Alex
 3. angel
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

<u>4</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>4</u>

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: presentation skills are great

One thing that could be improved: picture layout could have been better, I heard a few too many "umm"s

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: how much work has been done by previous teams?

Question 2: ~~was a ron robot captian ever on the table~~
what is your competition?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Excellent		
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	
1	2	<u>3</u>	4	5	

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Duncan*
 2. *Alex*
 3. *Angel*
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

<u>5</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>4</u>

Well Pt.	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: The purpose was clear and the slides looked nice

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: *In what specific way is your product unique?*

Question 2: *How will the current state of the market affect your economic analysis?*

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Serpentelligence*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- Alex
 - Duncan
 - Angel
 -
 -
 -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

5
5
3

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
✓		✓		✓		✓		✓
✓		✓		✓		✓		✓
✓		x		x		✓		✓

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *The fact callouts at the intro and for market analysis were informative & applicable*

One thing that could be improved: *Customer needs... could use more organization, seem a bit scattered.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Serpentelligence

Question 1: As compared to pigging, is this device autonomous, remote controlled, etc.?

Question 2: IS there a sensor subsystem already in place? How will it be improved?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)
1. <i>Matt Hernandez</i>	<u>5</u>
2. <i>Jackie Yang</i>	<u>3</u>
3. <i>Jerrri Zhung</i>	<u>4</u>
4. <i>Jorge Richie</i>	<u>4</u>
5.	_____
6.	_____

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
				X				

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *The ppt design was very good. Visually appealing, but not distracting.*

One thing that could be improved: *Jorge & Jerrri, no figleaf. Jackie, you don't need to be a monotone statue. Matt, no hands behind your back.*

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What do your competitors have? What technology are you competing with?

Question 2:

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What are your milestones and when do you plan to achieve them?

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	(5)
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	(3)	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	(3)	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	(3)	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	(4)	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Organization of content	1	2	(3)	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	(5)

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average	Average	Excellent	Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	(5)

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

- Name:
- MATT HERNANDES
 - JACIE VANG
 - JERRY ZHANG
 - JORGE RICHIE
 -
 -

4
4
5
4

	Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
	X				
		X			
	X			X	

Comments: Try to format charts better (spacing)

One thing the group did particularly well: PROVIDED GOOD MOTIVATION WITH GP SPILL EXAMPLE.

One thing that could be improved: The team could have had a more specific plan/agenda for the presentation to help the audience follow.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Team Poesiden

Question 1: How will revenue increase by 2022? increased market or more wells

Question 2: How much of the current BOP system will be used in your system

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average			Excellent
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

- Name:
1. *Matt Hernandez*
 2. *Jackie Yang*
 3. *Jerry Zhang*
 4. *Jorge Riche*
 - 5.
 - 6.

4
3
5
4

Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
	X			
		X	X	

Comments: The group knew the topic well & for the most part looked very comfortable.

One thing the group did particularly well: made it clear as to the scope of project & problem & viable solution

One thing that could be improved: The design specifications may need to be conveyed slightly better. Also, the lack of describing how PER's function makes it a little confusing to understand parts of the presentation

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Poseidon

Question 1: How exactly will your team shoot to increase the overall market share from 25 to 33%?

Question 2: what specific aspects of customer needs & specifications is the group targeting & what are the limiting factors?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

		Not			
		Acceptable	Average	Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	
1	2	3	4	5	

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name: 1. Matt Hernandez
2. Jackie Yang
3. Jerry Zhang
4. Jorge Richie
- 5.
- 6.

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
4
4
4

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
							X	
				X				
							X	

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: Made it very clear that they have a pertinent project as the consequences are catastrophic.

One thing that could be improved: the actual delivery of the presentation could have been improved with more intonation and better posture.

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: Can you give more details about the exact specs for your project?

Question 2: How realistic in your opinion are your numbers for the number of subsea rigs?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	④	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	④	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	④	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	③	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	④	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	⑤

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	⑤
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	④	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	④	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	③	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	④	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	⑤
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	⑤
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	④	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	④	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	④	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	④	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

	Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)	Well Pr	Eye Co	Voice Q	Body L	Questions
1. <i>Matt</i>	<u>4</u>		X		X	
2. <i>Jackie</i>	<u>3</u>		X	X	X	
3. <i>Jerry</i>	<u>5</u>		4	5	4	
4. <i>Fergal</i>	<u>4</u>				X	
5.						
6.						

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: *V. nice slides, Ambition project got here*
Confidence. Good market Analysis

One thing that could be improved: *Don't read off slides so much*
motestenes? Computer projects and technology

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Residen

Question 1: Are you going to be able to keep pace w/ GE's developing tech?

Question 2: What kind of prototype are you looking to produce and when → milestones?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- BILAN
 - JERRY
 - MATT
 - Ferge
 -
 -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
5
4
5

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

Comments: Good job

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: _____

Question 1: *What's your plan over the year.*

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: *Project Poseidon*

Technical Content

1. Explained motivation for design
2. Summarized scope of design problem
3. Presented market analysis
4. Presented customer needs analysis
5. Presented target specifications
6. Presented mission statement

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

1. Visual appeal of slides
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables
3. Clear, concise supporting text

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning
2. Communicated purpose of presentation
3. Appropriate tone for audience
4. Organization of content
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses
3. Presentation length

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
1. *Matthew Hernandez*
 2. *Jackie Lang*
 3. *Jorge Richie*
 4. *Terry*
 - 5.
 - 6.

Individual
Presentation
Score:
(1-5 as
above)

Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions

Comments: _____

One thing the group did particularly well: _____

One thing that could be improved: _____

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Team Poseidon

Question 1: *How will the current market status affect the economics of this BOP?*

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Proposal Presentation -- Assessment

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Technical Content

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Explained motivation for design	1	2	3	4	5
2. Summarized scope of design problem	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presented market analysis	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented customer needs analysis	1	2	3	4	5
5. Presented target specifications	1	2	3	4	5
6. Presented mission statement	1	2	3	4	5

Visuals or Slide Design

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Visual appeal of slides	1	2	3	4	5
2. Quality of graphs, figures and tables	1	2	3	4	5
3. Clear, concise supporting text	1	2	3	4	5

Organization of Presentation

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Summarized scope of talk at the beginning	1	2	3	4	5
2. Communicated purpose of presentation	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate tone for audience	1	2	3	4	5
4. Organization of content	1	2	3	4	5
5. Finished with a convincing conclusion	1	2	3	4	5

Oral Presentation Quality

	Not				
	Acceptable	Average		Excellent	
1. Team's confidence and enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
2. Team's control of Q&A and quality of responses	1	2	3	4	5
3. Presentation length	1	2	3	4	5

Individual Assessment

Mark X in areas that are AVG. or BELOW AVG.

- Name:
- Matthew Hernandez
 - Jackie Long
 - Jerry
 - Jorge Ritchie
 -
 -

Individual Presentation Score: (1-5 as above)

4
3
5
4

	Well	Pr	Eye	Co	Voice	Q	Body	L	Questions
1	✓		✓		✓		X		✓
2	✓		X		✓		✓		X
3	✓		X		✓		✓		✓
4	✓		✓		X		✓		✓
5									
6									

Comments:

One thing the group did particularly well: Opening anecdote to presentation was very impactful for the seriousness of the topic

One thing that could be improved: The validity of the specs for the customer needs could be touched on more

DURING the presentation, each student is to write down ATLEAST 2 questions that they would like to ask each presenting group. These will be distributed to teams

Team Name: Project Poseidon

Question 1: What do mean by Proof of Progeession
under the Future Plans slide?

Question 2: What factors of safety are taken into
account when determining customer needs?

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2:

Team Name: _____

Question 1:

Question 2: